



VOTE ON NEW WORK ITEM PROPOSAL	
Date of circulation 2008-02-19	Reference number ISO/TC 37 / SC 4 N 443
Closing date for voting 2008-05-20	
Member body voting	

ISO/TC 37 / SC 4
Title
Language Resource Management
Secretariat KATS

Circulated to P-members of the committee for vote and to O-members for information.
P-members of the technical committee or subcommittee concerned have an obligation to vote.

Please send this form, duly completed at all points, to the Secretariat indicated above (not to ISO Central Secretariat). P-members voting "Abstain" need only complete Question 3.1. All other P-members need to respond to all questions.
Important Note: Incomplete forms may be considered invalid and not be counted when assessing results.

Title of proposal
English title Language resource management - Semantic annotation framework(SemAF) - Part 2: Dialogue acts
French title (optional)

1 Evaluation of market relevance of the proposal

(P-members intending to vote 'We abstain' should not respond to this question)

It is recommended that this evaluation be carried out by a reasonably large number of stakeholders and the average of the various points given be subsequently reflected on the voting forms.)

		low					high				
		1	2	3	4	5					
1.1	What is the potential of this project to contribute to international trade and production?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
1.2	What is the potential of this project to contribute to economic efficiency, health, safety, or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
1.3	How great is the need to harmonize national approaches in this subject area that may serve as barriers to international trade?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
1.4	What is the feasibility of achieving consensus on International Standard(s) in this subject area by the proposed target dates?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
1.5	What priority should be assigned to the development of International Standard(s) in this subject area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					

(Comments, including proposals for modification of the scope, may be annexed)

Total points

2 Justification of proposal

(P-members intending to vote 'We abstain' should not respond to this question)

Do you agree, as the voting member body, that the requirements in the ISO/IEC Directives, Annex C, 2001 on the *Justification of proposals for the establishment of standards* have been met by this proposal?

Yes No

3 Vote

(Response required from all P-members)

(P-members intending to vote 'We abstain' need only respond to 3.1)

3.1 We agree to the addition of the proposed New work item to the programme of work of the committee:

Yes No We abstain / have no interest

3.2 We are prepared to participate actively in the development of the project (even if voting "No"), i.e. to make an effective contribution at the preparatory stage, at least by commenting on working drafts:

Yes* No

NOTE 1 All P-members voting "Yes" to questions 3.1 and 3.2 need to nominate an expert.

NOTE 2 P-members voting "No" to question 3.1 may nevertheless nominate experts.

* Name(s) and address(es) of nominated expert(s)

3.3 Additional vote on an associated draft

NOTE Question 3.3 is applicable only in those cases where an additional vote for adoption of a draft is indicated by the committee secretariat on the ISO Form 4 in the section "Voting information".

In such cases, answer either 3.3.1 or 3.3.2, as indicated on the New work item proposal.

3.3.1 We agree to direct submission of the draft associated with the New work item proposal as a CD:

Yes No

3.3.2 We agree to direct submission of the draft associated with the New work item proposal as a DIS (a vote "Yes" implies acceptance as a CD):

Yes No

If voting "No", to progression to a DIS, would you nevertheless agree with direct submission of the draft attached to the New work item proposal as a CD:

Yes No

4 Relevant documents

Standard(s), regulation(s), and other relevant documentation existing in our country, with any remarks concerning their application if necessary, are attached:

Yes No

If "Yes", please give references here, or as a separate annex:

5 Comments

An annex is attached to this form

Member body voting	
Date	Name